

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
GAINESVILLE DIVISION

KAREN DAVIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

VIKING COLLECTION SERVICE, INC.,
a Minnesota corporation,

Defendant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION FILE

NO. 2:11-cv-0006-WCO

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for damages against the defendant for violations of the Federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 et seq.

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

2. Subject matter jurisdiction in this Court is proper pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1337 (federal question jurisdiction).

PARTIES AND PERSONAL JURISDICTION

3. Plaintiff is a resident of this State, District and Division and is authorized by law to bring this action.
4. Defendant, VIKING COLLECTION SERVICE, INC., is a corporation formed under the laws of the State of Minnesota. [Hereinafter, said Defendant is referred to as "VIKING"].
5. VIKING transacts business in this state.
6. VIKING's transactions in this state give rise to the Plaintiff's cause of action.
7. VIKING is subject to the jurisdiction and venue of this Court.
8. VIKING may be served by personal service upon an authorized agent and/or officer at its primary place of business in the State of Minnesota, to wit:
7500 Office Ridge Circle, #100, Eden Prairie, MN, 55344.
9. Alternatively, VIKING may be served by personal or substitute service pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and, as applicable, the laws of the States of Georgia or Minnesota.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES

10. VIKING uses the mails in its business.
11. VIKING uses telephone communications in its business.
12. The principle purpose of VIKING's business is the collection of debts.
13. VIKING regularly collects or attempts to collect debts owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due, another.
14. VIKING is a debt collector subject to the provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
15. In the course of attempting to collect a consumer debt allegedly due from Plaintiff to a business not a party to this litigation, VIKING communicated with Plaintiff in a manner which violated the Federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
16. The alleged debt which VIKING attempted to collect from the plaintiff was incurred for personal, family or household purposes.
17. During the 12 months preceding the filing of this Complaint, VIKING left at least two telephone messages for Plaintiff requesting a return call.
18. In the messages, VIKING did not meaningfully disclose its identity.

19. In the messages, VIKING did not state that the communications were from a debt collector.
20. In the messages, VIKING did not state that the purpose of the communications were an attempt to collect a debt.
21. Defendant's communications violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
22. Plaintiff has complied with all conditions precedent to bring this action.

CAUSE OF ACTION

COUNT ONE: FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

23. The acts of Defendant constitute violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
24. Defendant's violations of the FDCPA include, but are not limited to, the following:
 25. The placement of telephone calls without meaningful disclosure of the caller's identity, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(6);
 26. The use of any false, deceptive, or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of any debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e; and

27. The failure to disclose in subsequent communications that the communication is from a debt collector, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11).

28. As a result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of statutory damages, as well as an award of costs and attorney fees.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF RESPECTFULLY PRAYS THAT JUDGMENT BE ENTERED AGAINST DEFENDANT AND IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF, AS FOLLOWS:

- a) That Plaintiff be awarded statutory damages;
- b) That Plaintiff be awarded the expenses of litigation including a reasonable attorney fee;
- c) That the Court declare each and every defense raised by Defendant to be insufficient; and
- d) That the Court grant such further and additional relief as is just in the circumstances.

—Signature Page to Follow—

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James M. Feagle
James M. Feagle
Georgia Bar No. 256916
Kris Skaar
Georgia Bar No. 649610
Justin T. Holcombe
Georgia Bar No. 552100

SKAAR & FEAGLE, LLP
108 East Ponce de Leon Avenue
Suite 204 • Decatur, GA 30030
(404) 373-1970 • fax: (404) 601-1855